## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44564 SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 RN101883833 Docket No. 2012-1422-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

**Media:** 

PST

**Small Business:** 

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Shop N Go 3, 1108 South Frazier Street, Conroe, Montgomery County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: November 30, 2012

Comments Received: No

## **Penalty Information**

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$7,650

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$1,530 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$170 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$5,950

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$170 each

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

**Applicable Penalty Policy:** September 2011

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44564 SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 RN101883833 Docket No. 2012-1422-PST-E

### **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A

**Complaint Information**: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: February 16, 2012 and July 10, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): July 10, 2012

## Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].

## Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

### **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

### **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Within 30 days:
- i. Implement proper corrosion protection for the UST system at the Facility; and
- ii. Implement a release detection method for the USTs at the Facility.
- b. Within 45 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

## Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

### **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Sarah Davis, Enforcement Division, Enforcement

Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-1653; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-0412

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44564 SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 RN101883833 Docket No. 2012-1422-PST-E

**TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: N/A

Respondent: Sirajuddin Ali, President, SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC., 3011 Grand

Lakeview Drive, Spring, Texas 77388 **Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) Assigned 16-Jul-2012 PCW Screening 18-Jul-2012 **EPA Due** 8-Oct-2012 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101883833 Facility/Site Region 12-Houston Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 44564 No. of Violations 2 Order Type 1660 Docket No. 2012-1422-PST-E Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Sarah Davis Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 1 Maximum \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section \$7,500 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 2.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$150 Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations. Notes \$0 Subtotal 4 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 \$0 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$488 Approx. Cost of Compliance **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** \$7,650 Final Subtotal 0.0% \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$7,650 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT \$7,650 Final Assessed Penalty

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

DEFERRAL

Notes

**PAYABLE PENALTY** 

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.

20.0%

Reduction

Adiustment

-\$1,530

\$6,120

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 18-Jul-2012

**Docket No.** 2012-1422-PST-E

 $\textbf{Respondent} \ \, \textbf{SIRAJ} \ \, \textbf{TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3}$ 

**Case ID No.** 44564

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101883833

>>

>>

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Sarah Davis

omponent	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action ( <i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i> )	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0 .	0%
		ase Enter Yes or No	T
4	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other ့	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
·	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
at Violator (	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
liance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	erformer Adjustment Percentage	centage (Sub	total 7)
liance Histo	ary Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with dissimilar violations.		

	Date 18-Jul-2012 ndent SIRAJ TRADERS, USA,	<b>Docket No.</b> 2012-1422-PST-E INC. dba Shop N Go 3	PCW Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Case I Reg. Ent. Referenc Media [Sta	<b>D No.</b> 44564		PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Violation N Rule	Cite(s)	Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3	475(d)
Violation Desc	ription Failed to provide pro	oper corrosion protection for the underground sto ("UST") system.	rage tank
			ase Penalty \$25,000
	Property and Human He Hai Release Major Mode Actual	rm erate Minor	
>>Programmatic Ma		Percent 15.09	<u>6</u>
raisii	ication Major Mode	Percent 0.09	6
		ll or could be exposed to pollutants which would e lth or environmental receptors as a result of the v	
		Adjustment	\$21,250 \$3,750
Violation Events			
Nur	nber of Violation Events 1	8 Number of violatio	n days
mark	dally weekly monthly x		
	quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation Ba	se Penalty \$3,750
One		od from the date of the record review (July 10, 20 of screening (July 18, 2012).	12) to the
Good Faith Efforts to	Comply  Before  Extraordinary	0.0% Reduction  NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
	Ordinary N/A x	(mark with x)	7
	Notes The Re	espondent does not meet the good faith criteria fo this violation.	
		Violatio	n Subtotal \$3,750
Economic Benefit (E		Statutory Lim	
•	Estimated EB AmountThi	\$414 Violation Final Persist violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted	

### **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 **Case ID No.** 44564 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101883833 Percent Interest Depreciation Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 1 5.0 15 Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs **EB Amount** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** \$6,000 16-Feb-2012 10-Feb-2013 0.99 \$395 \$414 Equipment \$20 Buildings \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 0.00 Other (as needed) \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction 0.00 \$0 \$0 Land 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 n/a Estimated cost to install a corrosion protection system and test the system. The date required is the Notes for DELAYED costs investigation date and the final date is the estimated date of compliance. **Avoided Costs** ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) 0.00 \$0 \$0 Disposal \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 Personnel \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0

Approx. Cost of Compliance \$6,000 TOTAL \$414

Screening Date		PCW vision 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No		N Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinato Violation Numbe		
Rule Cite(s		-
	50 Text Admin. Code & 554.50(b)(1)(A) and Text. Water Code & 20.5475(c)(1)	4
Violation Description	Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every mont (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).	
	Base Penalt	<b>y</b> \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
OR Release		
Potentia		
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	
		<b>–1</b>
Matrix Human heal	h or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed level	5
Notes that are	protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
	Adjustment \$21,25	<u>0</u>
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number of	Violation Events 1 8 Number of violation days	
	dally	
	weekly	
mark only one	monthly x	
with an x	quarterly Violation Base Penalt semiannual	y \$3,750
	annual	
	single event	
		7
One monthly	event is recommended from the date of the record review (July 10, 2012) to the date of screening (July 18, 2012).	2
:	or screening (July 16, 2012).	
Good Faith Efforts to Con	1ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary N/A X (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	Violation Subtota	\$3,750
Easteric Dataset (POLE	ship watering	
Economic Benefit (EB) fo	r this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estima	ted EB Amount \$74 Violation Final Penalty Tota	\$3,825
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits	\$3,825

### **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 Case ID No. 44564 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101883833 Percent Interest Depreciation Media Petroleum Storage Tank Violation No. 2 5.0 15 Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs Item Cost Date Required Final Date **EB Amount** Item Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** 0.00 \$0 Equipment \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 \$0 Buildings \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 \$0 \$0 0.00 Engineering/construction \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00 \$0 Land n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 **Permit Costs** 0.00 \$0 n/a \$0 16-Feb-2012 10-Feb-2013 Other (as needed) 0.99 \$74 Estimated cost to provide release detection for the USTs at the Facility. The date required is the Notes for DELAYED costs investigation date and the final date is the expected date of compliance. ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** 0.00 Disposal \$0 0.00 \$0 Personnel \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.00 \$0 \$0 <u>\$0</u> Supplies/equipment 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.00 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.00 Notes for AVOIDED costs

TOTAL

\$74

\$1,500

Approx. Cost of Compliance

**Compliance History Report** CN601027337 Siraj Traders, USA, Inc. Classification: Rating: 2.10 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: AVERAGE RN101883833 SHOP N GO 3 Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 1.20 Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 685 REGISTRATION 1108 S FRAZIER ST, CONROE, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS Location: TCEQ Region: **REGION 12 - HOUSTON** July 17, 2012 Date Compliance History Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: July 17, 2007 to July 17, 2012 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Sarah Davis (512) 239-1653 Name: Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? NO 3. If YES, who is the current owner/operator? 4. If YES, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A

# Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator: Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

5. If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

NO

N/A

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. B.

Chronic excessive emissions events C.

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) n

> 08/28/2007 (571517)2 05/28/2009 (744923)02/24/2010 (789973)10/06/2010 (849477)07/10/2012 (997153)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E.

> Date: 08/28/2007 (571517) CN601027337

Self

Classification:

Moderate

Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.244(1)

Description:

30 TAC '115.244 (1) - Failure to conduct daily inspections for the Stage II Vapor Recovery system. These inspections shall include the applicable portions of Section

115.242(4) and Section 115.242(3)(A)-(F), (H)-(I).

Self

Classification:

Moderate

Report?

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.242(1)(C)

30 TAC '115.242(1)(C) All Stage II vapor recovery systems must be onboard Description:

refueling vapor recovery (ORVR) compatible, as defined in '115.240 of this title in

accordance with the schedules in '115.249 of this title.

F. Environmental audits.

G.

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFURE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. DBA	§	
SHOP N GO 3	§	
RN101883833	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-1422-PST-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 1108 South Frazier Street in Conroe, Montgomery County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about July 15, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Six Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$7,650) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section

II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$170) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$1,530) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Five Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$5,950) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Seventy Dollars (\$170) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 16, 2012, and a record review conducted on July 10, 2012.

SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 DOCKET NO. 2012-1422-PST-E Page 3

2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on February 16, 2012, and a record review conducted on July 10, 2012.

### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3, Docket No. 2012-1422-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Implement proper corrosion protection for the UST system at the Facility, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49; and
    - ii. Implement a release detection method for the USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50; and
  - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section, Manager Houston Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5425 Polk Avenue, Suite H Houston, Texas 77023-1486

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the

SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 DOCKET NO. 2012-1422-PST-E Page 5

Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3 DOCKET NO. 2012-1422-PST-E Page 6

Authorized Representative of

SIRAJ TRADERS, USA, INC. dba Shop N Go 3

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Pan Bone J.	2/11/13
For the Executive Director	Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behado agree to the terms and conditions specific accepting payment for the penalty amount, is  I also understand that failure to comply wand/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount.  A negative impact on compliance history of any permit applicates.  Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney increased penalties in any future enforms.  Automatic referral to the Attorney of and  TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized.	with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order ant, may result in: ory; ations submitted; y General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, fees, or to a collection agency; orcement actions; General's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature	Date On the Date
Sirajuddin Ht. Name (Rrinted or typed)	President Title

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.